

Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board State Nonpoint Source Grant Program FY 2016 Workplan 16-51

| | SUMI | MARY PAGE | |
|-------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|
| Title of Project | Texas Bacterial Source Tr | racking Program (FY16–FY17) | |
| Project Goals | Support BST analyse | s throughout Texas | |
| | Further refine Texas | E. coli BST Library | |
| | Evaluate new library | independent markers | |
| | Provide outreach rega | | |
| Project Tasks | | ; (2) Quality Assurance; (3) BST Analyses | |
| | | (5) BST Library Refinement and Library I | ndependent Marker |
| | Development; (6) Outreac | | |
| Measures of Success | | um Creek and Big Elm Creek watersheds | |
| | | wn source fecal samples to BST Library | |
| | | specific, library-independent markers | atamia1a |
| Project Type | | bsite and delivery of BST informational mation (); Planning (); Assessment (X); Gro | |
| Status of Waterbody on | Segment ID | Parameter of Impairment or Concern | |
| 2012 Texas Integrated | Plum Creek (1810) | Bacteria Bacteria | <u>Category</u> 4b |
| Report | Big Elm Creek (1213A) | Bacteria | 5c |
| Кероп | Dig Elin Cicck (1215/1) | Buccin | 36 |
| Project Location | | L | -I |
| (Statewide or Watershed | Statewide, Plum Creek an | d Big Elm Creek | |
| and County) | | | |
| Key Project Activities | Hire Staff (X); Surface W | ater Quality Monitoring (); Technical Ass. | istance (); |
| | Education (X); Implement | tation (); BMP Effectiveness Monitoring (|); |
| | | ng(); Modeling(); Bacterial Source Track | king (X); Other () |
| 2012 Texas NPS | • Component 1 – LTG | | |
| Management Program | • Component 1 – STG | 1C | |
| Reference | • Components 2, 3, 5 | | |
| Project Costs | \$444,170 | | |
| Project Management | Texas Water Resource | | |
| | | xas Health Science Center at Houston Scho | ool of Public Health, |
| | El Paso Regional Car | • | , . |
| D : . D : 1 | | e Research, Department of Soil and Crop S | ciences |
| Project Period | November 1, 2015 – Janua | ary 31, 2018 | |

Part I – Applicant Information

| Applicant | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--|--------------|-----------|---|--|--|--|
| Project Lead | Lucas Gregory, Ph | Lucas Gregory, Ph.D. | | | | | | |
| Title | Research Scientist | and Quali | ty Assurance | e Officei | • | | | |
| Organization | Texas Water Resor | urces Insti | tute | | | | | |
| E-mail Address | LFGregory@ag.ta | mu.edu | | | | | | |
| Street Address | 578 John Kimbrou | gh Blvd. | | | | | | |
| | 2260 TAMU | | | | | | | |
| City Colleg | e Station | Station County Brazos State TX Zip Code 77843-2260 | | | | | | |
| Telephone Number 979-845-7869 Fax Number 979-845-8554 | | | | | | | | |

| Co-Applicant | | | | | |
|------------------|---|------------------|--|--|--|
| Project Lead | Roberto Rodriguez, Ph.D. | | | | |
| Title | Assistant Professor | | | | |
| Organization | The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston School of Public Health, El Paso | | | | |
| | Regional Campus | | | | |
| E-mail Address | roberto.a.rodriguez@uth.tmc.edu | | | | |
| Street Address | 1101 N. Campbell, CH 414 | | | | |
| City El Paso | County El Paso State TX | Zip Code 79902 | | | |
| Telephone Number | 915-747-8500 Fax Number | er 915-747-8512 | | | |

| Co-Applicant | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------------|--------|--|
| Project Lead | Terry Gentry, Ph.D |). | | | | | | |
| Title | Associate Professo | r | | | | | | |
| Organization | Texas A&M AgriI | Life Resear | ch, Departn | nent of S | oil and Crop | Science | es | |
| E-mail Address | tgentry@ag.tamu.e | <u>edu</u> | | | | | | |
| Street Address | 370 Olsen Blvd | | | | | | | |
| | 2474 TAMU | | | | | | | |
| City College | Station County Brazos State TX Zip Code 77843-2474 | | | | | 77843-2474 | | |
| Telephone Number | 979-845-3041 | | | Fax Nu | ımber | 979-84 | 5-0456 | |

| Project Partners | Project Partners | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| Names | Roles & Responsibilities | | | | | | |
| Texas State Soil and Water Conservation | Provide state oversight and management of all project activities and | | | | | | |
| Board (TSSWCB) | ensure coordination of activities with related projects and TCEQ. | | | | | | |
| Texas Water Resources Institute (TWRI) | Project Coordination and Administration, Quality Assurance, Reporting, | | | | | | |
| | and Outreach (Tasks 1, 2, and 5). | | | | | | |
| The University of Texas Health Science | Work in conjunction with AgriLife SCSC to perform all work described | | | | | | |
| Center at Houston School of Public Health, | in Tasks 2-6. | | | | | | |
| El Paso Regional Campus (UTSPH EP) | | | | | | | |
| Texas A&M AgriLife Research – | Work in conjunction with UTSPH EP to perform all work described in | | | | | | |
| Department of Soil and Crop Sciences | Tasks 2-6. | | | | | | |
| (AgriLife SCSC) | | | | | | | |

Part II – Project Information

| Project Type | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------|-------------|-----------|--|-----|-----|------|----|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surface Water | X | Grou | ındwater | | | | | | | |
| Does the project in | Does the project implement recommendations made in (a) a completed WPP, (b) an adopted | | | | | | | | | |
| TMDL, (c) an app | TMDL (c) an approved I-Plan (d) a Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan | | | | | | | | | |
| developed under C | CWA §3 | 320, (e) | the Texas C | Coastal I | NPS Pollution Control Program, or (f) | the | Yes | Λ | No | |
| Texas Groundwate | er Prote | ection S | Strategy? | | | | | | | |
| If yes, identify the | docum | ent. | 2014 Upda | te to the | e Plum Creek Watershed Protection Pla | ın | | | | |
| If yes, identify the agency/group that Plum Creek Wetershed Portnership Yea | | | | | Year | ear | | 1.4 | | |
| developed and/or a | approve | d the d | locument. | Fluili | Plum Creek Watershed Partnership Deve | | | 2014 | | |

| Watershed Information | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|--------------|
| Watershed or Aquifer Name(s) | Hydrologic Unit Code (12 Digit) | Segment ID | Category on 2012 IR | Size (Acres) |
| Plum Creek | 12100203 | 1810 | 4b | 288,240 |
| Big Elm Creek | 1207020403 | 1213A_01 1213A_02 1213B_02 1213B_01 1213C_01 | 5c | 207,115 |

Water Quality Impairment

Describe all known causes (i.e., pollutants of concern) and sources (e.g., agricultural, silvicultural) of water quality impairments or concerns from any of the following sources: 2012 Texas Integrated Report, Clean Rivers Program Basin Summary/Highlights Reports, or other documented sources.

Parameter(s) of Impairment:

- 1213A_01 bacteria
- 1810_01 bacteria
- 1810_02 bacteria
- 1810_03 bacteria

Category:

- 1213A_01 5c
- 1810 01 4b
- 1810 02 4b
- 1810 03 4b

Parameters(s) of Concern:

- 1213B_01 depressed dissolved oxygen, nitrate
- 1213C_01 impaired habitat, orthophosphorus
- 1810_01 depressed dissolved oxygen, nitrate
- 1810 02 impaired habitat, nitrate, orthophosphorus, total phosphorus
- 1810_03 depressed dissolved oxygen, nitrate, total phosphorus

Project Narrative

Problem/Need Statement

Bacteria are the number one cause of water quality impairment in Texas. BST is a valuable tool for identifying human and animal sources of fecal pollution to support development of watershed plans, TMDLs, and other strategies for addressing these impairments. Comprehensive BST has been completed by UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC in numerous watersheds throughout Texas with support provided by the TSSWCB. As a result of these joint efforts over the last decade, the Texas *E. coli* BST Library (ver. 5-15) currently contains 1,765 *E. coli* isolates obtained from 1,554 different domestic sewage, wildlife, livestock and pet fecal samples. Despite its expansiveness, continued development and refinement of the library to include additional known source isolates from additional Texas watersheds and different animal hosts is needed to further increase its utility. Looking to the future, library independent BST holds much promise. It is already being used to support BST analyses in Texas. However, to improve its ability to address the needs in Texas, further work is needed to develop and evaluate new markers. Finally, continued outreach and technology transfer is needed to expand awareness and understanding of BST, foster dialogue and collaboration, and bring water resource managers up to speed on advances in BST technologies, methodologies, applications and results.

Project Narrative

General Project Description (Include Project Location Map)

Due to the current and anticipated need for BST studies in Texas, statewide BST analytical infrastructure needs to be maintained appropriately. This not only includes the needed maintenance and repairs of analytical equipment; but also the continued support, training, and retention of skilled personnel. To meet the needs of the State, BST analytical capabilities will be maintained at both UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC BST laboratories. Financial support will be used to maintain lab personnel at UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC, continue refinement and evaluation of the Texas *E. coli* BST library, continue work on marker development and evaluation, and support targeted BST analyses for the Big Elm Creek and Plum Creek watersheds.

ERIC-PCR, RP and *Bacteroidales* PCR template-SOPs will also be reviewed and updated accordingly to ensure that they are current and up to date with applicable methods, technologies and markers. UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC will collaborate to evaluate and refine the Texas *E. coli* BST library. Fingerprint diversity of source-specific *E. coli* isolates will be investigated to help evaluate the strain representativeness of the library. This will allow the project team to identify specific needs for the expansion and refinement of the library. To support library expansion and BST analyses in the Big Elm Creek and Plum Creek watersheds, approximately 100 known source fecal samples from targeted animal sources will be collected by TWRI and analyzed for *E. coli*.

As funding allows, AgriLife SCSC and UTSPH EP will continue work to evaluate and further develop/refine source-specific bacterial PCR markers. Specifically, efforts will be made to evaluate the addition of library-independent markers to the Texas BST toolbox. Further, TWRI, AgriLife SCSC and UTSPH EP will cooperate with other entities nationwide to ensure that the most up-to-date and accurate BST approaches are implemented in Texas.

Finally, delivering educational and informational programming regarding BST continues to be a critical need. To this end, TWRI will continue to host and maintain the BST website (http://texasbst.tamu.edu/). The website will be used to disseminate educational materials, project updates, science updates, and other outreach efforts to advance the science and application of BST in Texas and nationally. To provide greater outreach to water resource managers in Texas, the project team will promote the use of and provide resources on BST. As needed to support this, TWRI, UTSPH EP, and AgriLife SCSC will develop additional flyers, one-pagers, tri-folds or other appropriate printed media to 1) discuss the appropriate application of BST in identifying fecal contamination sources and 2) promote the analytical laboratory capability of public BST labs which the State has invested. As appropriate, TWRI will also include information about BST in its publications. Additionally, TWRI, UTSPH EP, and AgriLife SCSC will periodically meet with natural resource agencies to advance the general knowledge and understanding of agency staff on the use of BST in Texas.

| Tasks, Objec | tives and Schedules | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Task 1 | Project Administration | | | | | | |
| Costs | \$26,000 | | | | | | |
| Objective | | coordinate and monitor al pervision and preparation of | I work performed under this of status reports. | s project including | | | |
| Subtask 1.1 | TWRI will prepare electro | onic quarterly progress rep | orts (QPRs) for submission | to the TSSWCB. QPRs | | | |
| | | | rter and shall be submitted | by the 15 th of December, | | | |
| | March, June and Septemb | er. QPRs shall be distribut | ed to all Project Partners. | | | | |
| | Start Date | Month 1 | Completion Date | Month 27 | | | |
| Subtask 1.2 | TWRI will perform accou | nting functions for project | funds and will submit appr | ropriate Reimbursement | | | |
| | Forms to TSSWCB at least | st quarterly. | | | | | |
| | Start Date | Month 1 | Completion Date | Month 27 | | | |
| Subtask 1.3 | | | e calls, at least quarterly, w | | | | |
| | | | ication needs, deliverables, | | | | |
| | | | owing each project coordinate | ation meeting and | | | |
| | distribute to project person | | | | | | |
| | Start Date | Month 1 | Completion Date | Month 27 | | | |
| Subtask 1.4 | | | EP to develop a Final Repor | | | | |
| | | clusions reached, and exten | t to which project goals and | d measures of success | | | |
| | have been achieved. | | | | | | |
| | Start Date Month 18 Completion Date Month 27 | | | | | | |
| Deliverables | QPRs in electronic for | QPRs in electronic format | | | | | |
| | Reimbursement Form | ns and necessary documen | tation in hard copy format | | | | |
| | Final Report in electr | ronic and hard copy format | ts | | | | |

| Tasks, Objec | tives and Schedules | | | | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Task 2 | Quality Assurance | | | | | | | |
| Costs | \$2,000 | | | | | | | |
| Objective | To develop data quality of | bjectives (DQOs) and qual | ity assurance/control (QA/ | QC) activities to ensure | | | | |
| | data of known and accepta | able quality are generated | through this project. | | | | | |
| Subtask 2.1 | TWRI will work with UT | SPH EP and AgriLife SCS | C to develop a QAPP for a | ctivities in Tasks 3-5 | | | | |
| | | | uirements for Quality Assu | | | | | |
| | (QA/R-5) and the $TSSWC$ | B Environmental Data Que | ality Management Plan. Al | l monitoring procedures | | | | |
| | and methods prescribed in | the QAPP shall be consis | tent with the guidelines det | ailed in the TCEQ | | | | |
| | Surface Water Quality Mo | onitoring Procedures, Volu | ıme 1: Physical and Chemi | cal Monitoring Methods | | | | |
| | for Water, Sediment, and | Tissue (RG -415) and $Volume$ | me 2: Methods for Collecti | ng and Analyzing | | | | |
| | Biological Assemblage an | d Habitat Data (RG-416). | [Consistency with Title 30 | , Chapter 25 of the Texas | | | | |
| | | | atory Accreditation and Ce | | | | | |
| | | | onal Environmental Labora | atory Accreditation | | | | |
| | Conference (NELAC) star | ndards, shall be required w | here applicable.] | | | | | |
| | Start Date | Month 1 | Completion Date | Month 3 | | | | |
| Subtask 2.2 | TWRI, UTSPH EP and A | TWRI, UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC will implement the approved QAPP and submit revisions and | | | | | | |
| | amendments as needed. | | | | | | | |
| | Start Date | Month 4 | Completion Date | Month 27 | | | | |

| Subtask 2.3 | AgriLife SCSC and UTSPH EP will maintain and update the 7 statewide BST template-SOPs for | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | collection of fecal samples | s for BST, isolation of E . α | coli, archival of E. coli isola | ates, ERIC-PCR, RP, pre- | | | | |
| | processing of water sampl | es for Bacteroidales PCR, | and Bacteroidales PCR co | nsistent with EPA | | | | |
| | Guidance for Preparing Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) (QA/G-6) and the TSSWCB | | | | | | | |
| | Environmental Data Quality Management Plan so that they include the most recent advances in BST | | | | | | | |
| | science, methodologies, markers and technologies. | | | | | | | |
| | Start Date Month 1 Completion Date Month 27 | | | | | | | |
| Deliverables | QAPP approved by T | SSWCB in both electronic | c and hard copy formats | | | | | |
| | Approved revisions and amendments to QAPP, as needed | | | | | | | |
| | Data of known and a | cceptable quality as report | ed through Tasks 3-5 | | | | | |
| | Updated statewide B | ST template SOPs | | | | | | |

| Tasks, Objec | tives and Schedules | | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Task 3 | BST Analyses | | | |
| Costs | \$280,607 | | | |
| Objective | Support BST analyses for | the Big Elm Creek and Pl | um Creek watersheds. | |
| Subtask 3.1 | UTSPH EP and AgriLife | SCSC will maintain BST a | nalytical equipment (e.g., l | RiboPrinter) and general |
| | laboratory equipment to s | upport BST analyses. This | includes securing maintena | ance contracts, |
| | replacement parts, and ex | pendable supplies. | | |
| | Start Date | Month 1 | Completion Date | Month 27 |
| Subtask 3.2 | | | lab personnel, students, and | |
| | | aintain laboratory operatin | g capacities and technical e | expertise to conduct BST |
| | studies across the state. | | | |
| | Start Date | Month 1 | Completion Date | Month 27 |
| Subtask 3.3 | | | ipport the watershed planni | |
| | | • | n monthly samples from 1- | |
| | | | k (Segment 1213A) waters | hed. Sample collection |
| | | RI with funding provided b | | |
| | Start Date | Month 4 | Completion Date | Month 27 |
| Subtask 3.4 | | | to support watershed protect | |
| | | | will be performed on month | • • |
| | | ¥ = ¥ | g plan to be determined fol | • |
| | | | Creek watershed. Samples v | will be collected by |
| | GBRA with funding prov | · | | |
| | Start Date | Month 4 | Completion Date | Month 27 |
| Deliverables | | g Elm Creek watershed | | |
| | BST analyses for Plu | ım Creek watershed | | |

| Tasks, Object | tives and Schedules | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|-------------------------|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| Task 4 | Known Source Fecal Sam | ple Collection | | | | | |
| Costs | \$16,000 | | | | | | |
| Objective | | | rt BST analyses in the Big ately 100 known source fee | | | | |
| Subtask 4.1 | TWRI will work with UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC to develop a targeted list of needed species for fecal sample collection and plan for their collection and delivery. This list should primarily fill gaps in the Texas <i>E. coli</i> BST Library and provide support for analyses in the Big Elm Creek and Plum Creek watersheds. | | | | | | |
| | Start Date | Month 2 | Completion Date | Month 4 | | | |
| Subtask 4.2 | Start Date Month 2 Completion Date Month 4 TWRI will collect 50 fecal samples from each watershed in accordance with the plan developed in Subtask 3.1 and work closely with UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC to coordinate delivery of the samples to the appropriate lab. TWRI will communicate with a select group of organizations, agencies and businesses in each of the 2 targeted watersheds to arrange and resolve any access concerns and gather input to improve geographic targeting of sample collection. Travel plans, scheduling, and routing maps will be prepared prior to deploying the field crew. TWRI will deploy the field crew to collect known source samples from each targeted watershed. TWRI will coordinate closely with UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC to ensure sample delivery adheres to established QA/QC procedures. A known source sample data set will be finalized after completion of the field work and submitted to TSSWCB. Start Date Month 4 Completion Date Month 16 | | | | | | |
| Deliverables | | species recommended for | • | 1.1011411 10 | | | |
| Dell', diddles | • | - | n source samples collected | | | | |

| Tasks, Objectives and Schedules | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Task 5 | BST Library Refinement and Library Independent Marker Development | | | |
| Costs | \$109,563 | | | |
| Objective | Evaluate and expand the statewide <i>E. coli</i> BST library through the addition of 100 known source fecal samples collected through Task 3. Develop and refine library-independent markers. | | | |
| Subtask 5.1 | UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC will isolate <i>E. coli</i> from the approximately 100 known source fecal samples collected through Task 3. Approximately three isolates from each fecal sample (for a total of approximately 300 isolates) will be analyzed using ERIC-PCR for inclusion in the Texas <i>E. coli</i> BST Library; based on the ERIC-PCR fingerprint patterns, approximately half of the isolates (150) will be further analyzed using RP for inclusion in the Texas <i>E. coli</i> BST Library. UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC will equitably split workload. | | | |
| | Start Date | Month 4 | Completion Date | Month 27 |
| Subtask 5.2 | UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC will collaborate to evaluate the geographical and temporal stability, composition, average rates of correct classification (accuracy), diversity of source specific isolates, and further development and refinement needs of the Texas <i>E. coli</i> BST library, as the library is updated with new known-source isolates. | | | |
| Start Date Month 4 | | | Completion Date | Month 27 |
| Subtask 5.3 | As funding allows, AgriLife SCSC and UTSPH EP will utilize the best available bacterial indicators to evaluate and further develop/refine source-specific bacterial PCR markers using known source fecal material. AgriLife SCSC and UTSPH EP efforts will focus on evaluating additional library-independent PCR markers for the Texas BST toolbox. UTSPH EP will also archive Big Elm Creek water DNA samples for future library independent work. Start Date Month 4 Completion Date Month 27 | | | |
| Deliverables | 12 111 1 1111 | erformed included in QPR | A | Wionui 27 |
| | 1 1115 mg or work p | | s and I mai riepoit | |

| Tasks, Objec | tives and Schedules | | | | |
|--------------|--|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Task 6 | Outreach | | | | |
| Costs | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | | | |
| Objective | Provide continued outreach regarding BST and its application through improving the statewide | | | | |
| | knowledge base regarding current BST practices, scientific advances, improvements in the appl of BST, and incorporating information from other areas of the nation into the BST approaches u in Texas. | | | | |
| | | | | ST approaches utilized | |
| | | | | | |
| Subtask 6.1 | TWRI will host and maintain the http://texasbst.tamu.edu website to disseminate educational materials, | | | te educational materials, | |
| | project updates, science updates, notify readers about educational opportunities, and other outreach efforts to advance the science and application of BST in Texas and nationally. | | | , and other outreach | |
| | | | | | |
| | Start Date | Month 1 | Completion Date | Month 27 | |
| Subtask 6.2 | TWRI, UTSPH EP, and AgriLife SCSC will promote the use of and provide resources on BST. TWRI, UTSPH EP, and AgriLife SCSC will distribute educational brochures developed. As needed, TWRI, | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | UTSPH EP, and AgriLife SCSC will develop additional flyers, one-pagers, tri-folds or other appropriate | | | | |
| | printed media, that can be used to 1) discuss the appropriate application of BST in identifying fecal | | | | |
| | contamination sources and 2) promote the analytical laboratory capability of public BST labs which the | | | | |
| | State has invested. As appropriate, TWRI will include information about BST in general, and this | | | | |
| | project specifically, in the txH2O magazine and Conservation Matters e-mail newsletter. Finally, TWRI UTSPH EP, and AgriLife SCSC will periodically meet with natural resource agencies, public and | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | private laboratories, and other researchers/academia to advance the general knowledge and | | | | |
| | understanding of BST and appropriate methodologies and SOPs for use of BST in Texas. | | | | |
| | Start Date | Month 1 | Completion Date | Month 27 | |
| Deliverables | Summaries of outread | ch efforts included in QPR | s and Final Report | | |

Project Goals (Expand from Summary Page)

Support BST analyses across the State through (1) continued personnel support and operation and maintenance of analytical infrastructure at public BST laboratories; (2) continued development, updating and implementation of statewide BST template-SOPs for ERIC-PCR, RiboPrinting, and *Bacteroidales* PCR along with coordination amongst other entities conducting BST in the state to standardize methodologies employed; (3) delivery of information and materials that give an overview of BST activities in Texas to date and describe the use, capabilities and applicability of BST and the services provided by the State-supported analytical labs to local, state and national stakeholder audiences; (4) continued development of the Texas *E. coli* BST Library; (5) further development of suitable source-specific bacteria markers for library independent BST; and (6) targeted BST.

Measures of Success (Expand from Summary Page)

- Updated BST template-SOPs for ERIC-PCR, RiboPrinting, and *Bacteroidales* PCR ensuring that template-SOPs include current methods, technologies and approaches.
- Maintain needed level of training of AgriLife SCSC and UTSPH EP personnel.
- Continued operation and maintenance of BST analytical equipment and support of personnel needs to sustain operating capability and expand the utilization of BST applications statewide.
- Targeted BST supporting watershed planning and implementation efforts in the Big Elm Creek and Plum Creek watersheds
- Expansion of the Texas *E. coli* BST Library through the analysis of approximately 100 known source fecal samples collected by TWRI
- Development/evaluation of new source-specific bacterial markers for library-independent BST
- Continued outreach through a BST state of the science website (http://texasbst.tamu.edu/) that serves as a repository for collected/produced BST information and source of BST related materials, updates, meeting announcements for educational opportunities
- Continued outreach through delivery of BST informational materials describing the state of the science, applicability, usefulness, and analytical capabilities of State-supported BST laboratories to water resource professionals across the state and nation

2012 Texas NPS Management Program Reference (Expand from Summary Page)

Components, Goals, and Objectives

Component 1 – Explicit short- and long-term goals, objectives, and strategies that protect surface... water.

LTG 1 – Objective 1 – Focus ... available resources in watersheds and aquifers identified as impacted by NPS pollution

 $LTG\ 1-Objective\ 2-Support\ the\ implementation\ of\ state,\ regional,\ and\ local\ programs\ to\ prevent\ NPS\ pollution\ through\ assessment...$

LTG 1 – Objective 3 – Support the implementation of state, regional, and local programs to reduce NPS pollution, such as the implementation of strategies defined in TMDL I-Plans, [and] WPPs...

LTG 1 – Objective 6 – Develop partnerships ... to facilitate collective, cooperative approaches to manage NPS pollution.

Short-Term Goal One – Data Collection and Assessment – Objective C – Conduct special studies to determine sources of NPS pollution and gain information to target… BMP implementation.

Component 2 – Working partnerships and linkages to appropriate State, interstate, Tribal, regional, and local entities, private sector groups, and Federal agencies.

Component 3 – Balanced approach that emphasizes both statewide NPS programs and on-the-ground management of individual watersheds.

Component 5 – ... Progressively address these identified waters by conducting more detailed watershed assessments...

EPA State Categorical Program Grants – Workplan Essential Elements *FY 2011-2015 EPA Strategic Plan* Reference

Strategic Plan Goal – Goal 2 Protecting America's Waters

Strategic Plan Objective - Objective 2.2 Protect and Restore Watersheds and Aquatic Ecosystems

Part III – Financial Information

| Budget Summary | | |
|----------------------------|----|---------|
| Category | | Costs |
| Personnel | \$ | 124,564 |
| Fringe Benefits | \$ | 41,162 |
| Travel | \$ | 4,213 |
| Equipment | \$ | 0 |
| Supplies | \$ | 31,011 |
| Contractual | \$ | 189,507 |
| Construction | \$ | 0 |
| Other | \$ | 17,235 |
| Total Direct Costs | \$ | 407,692 |
| Indirect Costs (≤15%) | \$ | 36,478 |
| | | |
| Total Project Costs | \$ | 444,170 |

| Budget Justification | | |
|----------------------|--------------|--|
| Category | Total Amount | Justification |
| Personnel | \$ 124,564 | • TWRI PI (Wagner) \$84,747; 2 months @ \$14,760 |
| | | • SCSC Co-PI (Gentry) \$108,442; 1.91 months @ \$18,044 |
| | | • TWRI Program Manager (TBD) \$75,528; 2 months @ \$12,771 |
| | | • TWRI Research Asst (TBD) \$45,000; 3.043 months @ \$11,545 |
| | | • SCSC Post Doc (TBD) \$42,000; 19 months @ \$67,444 |
| | | *named positions are budgeted with a 3% annual pay increase in all years; TBD positions and |
| | | graduate students are budgeted with a 3% pay increase in years after year 1 *(Salary estimates are based on average monthly percent effort for the entire contract. Actual |
| | | percent effort may vary more or less than estimated between months; but in the aggregate, |
| | | will not exceed total effort estimates for the entire project.) |
| Fringe Benefits | \$ 41,162 | Fringe for Full Time Employees Calculated as: (Salary * 17.8% + \$695/mo) |
| | | (Fringe benefits estimates are based on salary estimates listed. Actual fringe benefits will |
| | | vary between months coinciding with percent effort variations; but in the aggregate, will not exceed the overall estimated total.) |
| Travel | \$ 4,213 | TWRI travel to Temple area for project meetings and fecal collection for |
| | | Big Elm Creek (\$300), Lockhart area for fecal collection for Plum Creek |
| | | (\$450) |
| | | • TWRI mileage for other project-related travel (\$216) |
| | | • SCSC travel to state meetings and Riesel (\$1,247) and national meetings |
| | | (\$2,000) |
| Equipment | \$ 0 | N/A |
| Supplies | \$ 31,011 | • SCSC ERIC-RP supplies (\$17,886) |
| | | includes miscellaneous supplies and: |
| | | (RP Disposable Assembly Kits @ ~\$1,900 ea.) |
| | | (media buffers @ ~\$1,175 ea.) |
| | | • SCSC Marker Eval/Development Supplies (\$6,000) |
| | | (microbial ID kits @ ~\$1,200 ea.) |
| | | • SCSC Miscellaneous project supplies (\$1,300) |
| | | • Fecal isolations: (50 * \$25 = \$1,250) |
| | | • Fecal ERICs: (150 * \$8 = \$1,200) |
| Contractual* | \$ 189,507 | • Fecal RP: (75 * \$45 = \$3,375) UTSPH EP |
| Construction | \$ 189,307 | N/A |
| Other | \$ 17,235 | TWRI Communications Services (\$2,600) |
| Other | Ψ 17,233 | Phone allowance (\$200) |
| | | Two anowance (\$200) Twr RiboPrinter Service (\$7,500) |
| | | SCSC sample shipping (\$500) |
| | | SCSC sample shipping (\$300) SCSC sample shaker (\$1,200) |
| | | SCSC sample shaker (\$1,200) SCSC Conference Registrations (\$1,400) |
| | | SCSC conference Registrations (\$1,400) SCSC general maintenance on equipment (\$2,835) |
| | | SCSC general maintenance on equipment (\$2,833) SCSC NELAP Lab accreditation fees (\$1,000) |
| Indirect | \$ 36,478 | 15% of federal MTDC (\$243,185) |
| Hullect | _ ψ _ 30,476 | 13/0 01 federal WHDC (\$243,103) |

| Contractual Budget Justification – UTSPH EP | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---|
| Category | Total A | mount | Justification |
| Personnel | \$ | 111,690 | El Paso: Di Giovanni, PI (\$137,970); 2.4 months @ \$28,008 El Paso: Truesdale (\$38,250); 19.85 months @ \$63,266 El Paso: Casarez (\$46,240); 4.8 months @ \$18,496 El Paso: Student Worker \$10/hr * 192 hrs @ \$1,920 *El Paso PI is budgeted with a 3% annual pay increase in year 2 *(Salary estimates are based on average monthly percent effort for the entire contract. Actual percent effort may vary more or less than estimated between months; but in the aggregate, will not exceed total effort estimates for the entire project.) |
| Fringe Benefits | \$ | 36,825 | El Paso: Di Giovanni @ 24% of personnel (\$7,282) El Paso: Truesdale @ 40% (+ longevity) of personnel (\$27,706) El Paso: Casarez @ 9% (\$1,664) El Paso: Student Worker @ 9% (\$173) (Fringe benefits estimates are based on salary estimates listed. Actual fringe benefits will vary between months coinciding with percent effort variations; but in the aggregate, will not exceed the overall estimated total.) |
| Travel | \$ | 0 | N/A |
| Equipment | \$ | 0 | N/A |
| Supplies | \$ | 14,695 | Fecal sample <i>E. coli</i> isolations: 50 @ \$25 ea. = \$1,250 <i>E. coli</i> isolation from water samples (2 sites x 12 months = 24 x 5 isolates/sample = 120 isolates @ \$8 ea.) = \$960 ERIC-RP supplies (\$8*270 isolates ERIC, \$45*195 isolates RP) = \$10,935 Library independent BST marker supplies = \$1,550 |
| Contractual* | \$ | 0 | N/A |
| Construction | \$ | 0 | N/A |
| Other | \$ | 1,579 | • Refrigerator and general maintenance (Biological Safety Cabinets, freezers and refrigerators) = \$1,579 |
| Indirect | \$ | 24,718 | 15% of Modified Total Direct Costs |